International Journal of Law Research and Studies (IJLRS)

المجلة الدولية للبحوث والدراسات القانونية

Vol. (5), No. (2)

IJLRS

February 2026

الإصدار (5)، العدد (2)

A Comprehensive Analysis of Legal Frameworks and Ethical Considerations in Outer Space Exploration and Utilization

Mohamed Babiker Mohamed Malik

Assistant Professor, Department of Law, College of Sharia and Law, University of Hail, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9395-7451

m.malik@uoh.edu.sa

Abstract

The continuing expansion of space, regarded as a shared human heritage, is encountering an increasing number of obstacles due to the swift progression of technology. The proliferation of satellites and space debris poses a threat to the delicate biosphere in outer space as well as on Earth. Thus, attorneys labored over "Space Law" to regulate worldwide planetary exploration, research missions, and astronaut safety. This study traces the dual-track evolution of space law over the years as it investigates its ever-changing landscape. The inaugural segment of this study examines international law, including that which has been established through bilateral agreements with organizations like the Arab Satellite Communications Organizations and the United Nations.

Furthermore, this study explores the potential for various national legal systems to regulate space operations in a manner that protects the rights of stakeholders, guarantees adherence to international standards, and complies with global norms. The research technique examines all relevant domestic and international space exploration rules. This article examines the historical influence of key international organizations on space law and bilateral agreements. These organizations include IISL, COPUOS, ITU, and WMO. The research indicates that national and international policies exert an influence on space laws. Collaboration among numerous entities, including governments, is vital for the ethical and sustainable conduct of space exploration. The development of space law has brought to the forefront the significance of international cooperation and legislation by states that adhere to global standards. Space legislation must be codified in order to safeguard ecosystems and human lives.

Keywords: Space, Law, Outer Space, Exploration, Utilization.

1. Introduction

A perpetual global competition exists to explore uncharted regions of space, replete with celestial entities such as satellites, with the ultimate goal of technological progress and human welfare. The first United Nations Convention on Outer Space garnered the endorsement of 103 states in 1967. Four additional United Nations agreements were ratified between 1968 and 1979, thereby strengthening the legal foundation for space exploration. The European Union's Space Law Authority and the International Telecommunication Union are two regional organizations that have had a substantial impact on the development of international space law. Due to the proliferation of legislative legislation, court rulings, and state-enacted laws, this critical matter has become the subject of ever-more-rigorous discourse.

The legal safeguarding of the space environment has received comparatively less attention than the worldwide endeavor to eradicate air pollution. At odds with the multitude of covenants and accords that protect aquatic habitats, space regulations continue to be nonexistent. This discrepancy highlights the need for clear delineation between airspace and outer space, clarification of relevant laws, an appreciation of aerospace operations, and an assessment of sovereignty.

This research was motivated by the critical need to reduce space pollution and highlight humanity's collective obligation to safeguard the universe's precious assets. The central focus of this inquiry is the tension between the ideal of freedom to explore space and the observance of international law. Prior to inspecting space objects transiting through foreign airspace, an in-depth investigation, including the junction of sovereignty, permits, and international agreements, is required.

The primary motivation for this study is to answer the question, "Is it legal for a space object to fly through another country's airspace?" There is a tension between the right of states to exercise sovereignty over their airspace and the idea of global freedom in space use. A key issue in this discussion is whether or not a space object needs approval from a sovereign nation. The varying opinions of nations on satellite launches further cloud the issue of responsibility for both the rocket's takeoff and its landing, as well as the astronauts themselves.

1.1. Research questions:

- If space law seeks to maintain international peace and security in space activities and technology and harness it for military uses, has space law succeeded in limiting the use of space to peaceful purposes only?
- To what extent does this law accommodate the legal problems resulting from the technological use of outer space?
- How effective are international and local laws in determining liability for damages arising from pollution of the outer space environment due to space activities, such as radio wave leakage, ozone depletion, space debris, and some scientific experiments getting out of control?
- How effective are national legislation and international agreements in covering scientific discoveries and preserving the rights of those dealing in this field?

2. Literature review

The regulatory community has paid less attention to space exploration and utilization despite its crucial relevance. Regulation of space operations and protection of Earth's orbits from contamination highlight the need for comprehensive legislation. Increasing international accountability is essential for protecting other countries from damage, calling for coordinated international efforts.

This research incorporates the United Nations charters, which delineate several geographically-based criteria for the peaceful utilization and investigation of space. The 1962 "Declaration of Legal Principles Regulating the Activities of States in the Field of Exploration and Use of Outer Space" is significant in this regard. Since the United Nations General Assembly approved Resolution No. 110 (II) in November 1947, which denounces propaganda that incites aggression, breaches of peace, or threats, the international community has vehemently opposed such dissemination. This decision, which laid the groundwork for subsequent judicial developments, demonstrates the expeditiousness with which individuals recognized the imperative to regulate aggressive conduct in international affairs. A significant development in the progression towards establishing liability standards for space operations was the "Convention on International Liability for Damage Caused by Space Objects," adopted by the General Assembly in 1971

through Resolution No. 2777 (XXVI). This treaty established the foundation for substantive space law through the delineation of precise standards for global liability in disputes pertaining to harm inflicted upon celestial entities. With the intention of establishing an "Agreement Regulating the Activities of States on the Surface of the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies," the UN General Assembly ratified Resolution No. 34/68 in December 1979, thereby substantially altering the legal framework. This resolution occurred at a turning point in the evolution of space law. The collaborative endeavor of locating the moon and other celestial entities is delegated to the participating states through the establishment of the regulations that regulate space exploration at this conference.

Concepts derived from scholarly investigations into comparative legal systems and other relevant literature corroborate this survey's conclusion. Additionally, the international treaties at the heart of this investigation contain a wealth of useful information. The subsequent sections provide a synthesis and critical analysis made possible by the paper's eclectic methodology, which ensures a comprehensive understanding of the subject's numerous challenges and opportunities.

3. Methodology

3.1. First: research method:

- **Historical method:** This work successfully identified the initial context of legal concepts and tracked their evolutionary trajectory over time by employing the historical method. It illuminates the historical development of space law.
- **The authentic approach:** This research emphasizes the use of accurate citations for all passages that are quoted and employs an authentic and original methodology. The legitimacy of the conclusions and inferences derived from the primary sources is attributed to the thorough documentation of the material.
- **Analytical method:** This study employs an analytical approach to deconstruct intricate legal frameworks into their constituent parts. By dissecting intricate matters in space law into their constituent symbols and resolving any ambiguity, one can attain a comprehensive understanding of them.
- **Critical method:** The critical method, which permits the comparison and contrast of numerous theoretical and practical approaches to the law, is an

integral component of this research. This study investigates widely held perspectives, considering both favorable and unfavorable aspects.

- **Contrastive approach:** The application of the comparative method is essential to this investigation because it permits a comprehensive examination of various legal systems and international treaties. The research enhances the analysis by shedding light on alternative viewpoints regarding the matter by contrasting and comparing different legal contexts.

3.2. Second: research procedures:

This study employed an extensive array of essential methodologies and was carried out systematically and thoroughly. To ensure the success of this research, academic data gathered exclusively from primary sources must be processed with the utmost care. This approach serves as the foundation of the research endeavor and ensures a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter.

The study ensures that even the most complex topics will be succinctly and consistently presented through meticulous attention to detail and adherence to scientific methodology. To enhance the lucidity and precision of the study, technical jargon, and ambiguous phrases have been defined with great attention to detail. Everything has been deconstructed into its constituent parts to guarantee that misinterpretation is impossible.

It is critical to maintain exhaustive records of all the books, citations, perspectives, and subjects under investigation in this study. We have diligently referenced all sources from which we have obtained information, and to express our gratitude, we have included comprehensive footnotes. This level of detail not only guarantees academic integrity but also empowers readers to verify or investigate the sources that have been cited autonomously. All information about the books listed is supplied both at the time of their first mention and throughout the bibliography. Any gaps in the source material are noted to preserve the trustworthiness and openness of the study.

Paraphrased information is given without quotation marks and is always supported by a clear source reference, whereas direct quotations are always encased in quotation quotes when used within the study. Consistency, clarity, and cohesion in the presentation of ideas are ensured by following standard punctuation norms.

Further, the study integrates applicable court applications to illustrate the application of legal ideas. By giving concrete examples, these contexts enhance the theoretical foundation upon which the study of law is based.

3.3. Research plan:

- First theme: International law's protection of the right of states to utilize and exploit space.
  - Section 1: Guarantees the right to investigate and employ outer space freely.
  - Section 2: Delineates the jurisdiction that governs the oversight of astronauts' activities in outer space.
  - Section 3: The authority to oversee the operations and infrastructure of other states engaged in spacefaring.
  - Section 4: The subject matter pertaining to "the right to place scientific research objects in outer space"
- Second theme: International law's protection of the right of non-space states to utilize and exploit space.
  - Section 1: The right to benefit from the results of outer space technology.
  - Section 2: The right to obtain information sensed in their territory.
  - Section 3: The right to assistance in the event that their interests are harmed.
  - Section 4: The right to claim compensation in the event of damage.

First theme: International law's protection of the right of states to utilize and exploit space

Regulatory Conditioning of Space:

Assume that the exploitation of space permits states to enter this space without supervision and without referring to other states for licensing or other arrangements(Majdhoub, 1999). In this case, this freedom is not absolute but rather subject to binding controls for states that have accepted this principle, whether they are space travelers or other states, to organize the rights and responsibilities of all states in accordance with the provisions and texts of international agreements.

Because access to outer space requires capabilities that are not available to all states, the rights and responsibilities are unequal, and what may be an obligation

for an outer spacefaring state may be a privilege for non-faring states or all of humanity(Amer).

Therefore, we can explain the rights of states to use outer space in international law through the following two topics:

The first topic is the rights of space states to use and exploit outer space in international law.

The second topic is the rights of non-space states to use and exploit outer space in international law.

International law's protection of the right of space states to utilize and exploit space:

Consider the hypothetical scenario wherein the endorsement of space exploration and utilization by spacefaring and non-spacefaring nations equates to universal personal liberty. In such a scenario, not all states have access to this region for valid reasons, such as the lack of scientific and financial resources by many governments; this results in unequal and variable access rights (Heif). According to Shehata (1960), whoever gains access to this region should benefit from any subsequent exploration or utilization (Shehata, 1960).

In accordance with international law, it is therefore essential to elucidate the rights of space states to utilize space for economic purposes. Four subsections addressing various facets of this subject are as follows:

Section 1: Guarantees the right to investigate and employ outer space freely.

Section 2: Delineates the jurisdiction that governs the oversight of astronauts' activities in outer space.

Section 3: The rights to observe and visit space facilities and other operations

Section 4: The right to launch objects into space for scientific investigation purposes.

Section one: the right to explore and use outer space:

Article 1 of the Outer Space Treaty of 1967 made it clear that all states had the same unrestricted right to explore and develop space (Nations, 1967). Every

country is dedicated to fostering international collaboration in astronomical study, and everyone has open and unrestricted access to the heavens[1]. Despite opposing interests, Article 9 of the Outer Space Treaty requires governments to consult with one another and emphasizes the idea of collaboration and mutual help.

The Moon Treaty of 1979 echoes this sentiment by recommitting nations to the exploration and use of the Moon as part of humanity's common heritage. Article 6 of the Moon Treaty guarantees equal access for all governments to undertake peaceful scientific study on the Moon, including the collecting of samples for peaceful purposes. As long as they don't interfere with one other's missions, signatories to the pact are free to build up human or unmanned outposts on the Moon and launch spacecraft from there (Nations, 1967).

The actual usage of space, notwithstanding these legal requirements, needs to be more consistent. A number of countries have launched spacecraft and satellites because they see space as a business opportunity. This dynamic illustrates the conflict between the ideological goals of international space treaties and the material concerns that drive state space programs.

Section two: the right to jurisdiction over activities of astronauts in outer space:

The Moon Treaty's Article 8 is devoted mostly to the law surrounding the ownership, jurisdiction, and control of objects in space. More specifically, it gives the mission leader administrative and disciplinary authority over the members of the space mission (Nations, 1979) and digs into the specifics of those individuals' powers and tasks. The presence of an authoritative figure on an interplanetary voyage is critical for maintaining order, safeguarding the lives of astronauts, and maintaining the integrity of the vessel (Saad).

Human spaceflight missions require international agreements, particularly when crew members are from multiple nations. These pacts must be implemented so that numerous issues pertaining to the government's control over individuals in space

[1] This text was approved by the General Assembly of the United Nations as Resolution 1721 on 20/12/2023. It emphasized that the exploration and use of outer space is are equal freedoms for all states, under Resolution 1962 dated 20/12/1963.

can be resolved. It is essential, when determining which state has jurisdiction over an astronaut, to consider the astronaut's nationality, the conditions at launch and landing, and the state whose territory was affected by the astronaut's activities (Ali).

The principles delineated in Article 5 of the Outer Space Treaty of 1979 are similarly reinforced by the 1968 Agreement on the Rescue of Astronauts and the Return of Objects Launched into Outer Space. This contract was executed by both parties in 1968. In addition to establishing a legal framework to assist and protect astronauts in the event of an emergency, the act recognizes them as representatives of Earth in space. This agreement expands upon the stipulations outlined in Article 8 of the Outer Space Treaty, which pertains to the return of space objects[2].

The 1972 agreement to regulate activities on celestial bodies, including the Moon, strengthens the principle of equal access to space exploration and utilization among state governments. The treaty's stated purpose is to resolve diplomatic disputes concerning the fair distribution of resources on the Moon and other planets. This convention's objective is to operationalize the principles established by the Outer Space Convention. This constitutes a commitment by the parties to strive further to establish these principles as the benchmark in the field of international law.

Section three: The rights to observe and visit space facilities and other operations:

According to the 1967 Outer Space Treaty, Article 9, it is mandatory for all treaty nations to engage in consultations prior to undertaking any space operations or experiments that may impede the peaceful exploration of space by other treaty states (Nations, 1967). Moreover, in the event that a nation perceives that the actions of another nation could result in hindrances or adverse consequences, it possesses the capacity to initiate negotiations with said nation regarding the proposed space endeavor (Ali).

[2] Peter Vav Fenema International Cooperation in Using Orbits, Proceedings of the Workshop on twenty-first century, Organized by IISL and OOSA at Vienna, ST/SPACE/2, United Nation, New York 2002, p, 25.

The 1979 Moon Treaty, specifically Article 15, provides additional details regarding the governments' authorization to observe other space missions. However, advance notice is required to enable the appropriate procedures and safeguards to be taken to ensure safety and non-interference with routine operations at the location to be visited (Nations, 1979). A state will initiate negotiations with the other treaty states in an effort to identify a practical resolution that takes into account the rights and interests of all parties involved if it determines that another is not honoring its treaty obligations (Nations, 1979). If the parties are unable to reach an agreement via talks, they must resort to other peaceful procedures according to the circumstances and nature of the dispute (Nations, 1979).

Stringent procedural limitations regulate the freedom to observe and visit the space operations of other governments. This privilege is not an inherent right; rather, it is the consequence of prior agreements designed to facilitate peaceful resolution of potential conflicts through dialogue and, if necessary, other methods that are mutually agreed upon by all parties. A mechanism stipulated in the Moon Treaty permits requests for the assistance of the Secretary-General of the United Nations in resolving disputes. This section underscores the commitment to employing peaceful settlement techniques.

Section four: The right to launch objects into space for scientific investigation purposes:

The utilization of scientific research instruments and space travel are indispensable to the advancement of humanity; however, these pursuits require exceptionally refined capabilities and specialized machinery. While the states have authorized the utilization of these resources for scientific research, they may be more readily accessible to the military (Nations, 1967). The Space Plan for 2030 was officially acknowledged and approved by the Committee for the Safe Use of Space through a unanimous vote. As a component of this approach, financial support will be allocated to the Open Universe Initiative itself. Its purpose is to increase the availability of space-related resources for public study and data access. However, in accordance with Article 4 of the 1967 Space Treaty, military personnel are granted permission to carry out nonviolent scientific research if it advances the

collective welfare of humanity. Through this treaty, the use of space for military purposes is prohibited.

Article 9 of the Moon Treaty permits the establishment of permanent human colonies on the Moon, provided that timely notification is provided to the Secretary-General and the impact is kept to a minimum. Due to the significance of undertaking peaceful scientific research on the Moon, military installations, weapon testing, and maneuvers are prohibited (Nations, 1979). Article 8 of the Space Treaty stipulates that governments retain the ability to exercise sovereignty over their vessel, personnel, and equipment irrespective of their location in the cosmos[3].

While aggressive military usage, even for benign ones, is illegal in space, the deployment of non-lethal weaponry is permitted. The Soviet Union was opposed to having military equipment in space, and this stance was reflected in the negotiations leading up to the 1967 Outer Space Treaty. The United States, on the other hand, funded military people and equipment for use in civilian research and peacekeeping. To further illustrate the complexity of controlling military engagement in outer space, the British envoy backed this position by stressing the equipment's appraisal for peaceful usage rather than the military aim.

The second theme is the rights of non-space states to use and exploit outer space in international law

There is no doubt that man has had a close relationship with outer space on scientific grounds for over fifty years of exploring it. An International Astronomical Union was established to unite astronomical communities around the world and assume the responsibility for naming stars, planets, asteroids, and other space objects[4].

[3] See Article 8 of the Outer Space Treaty: "Registration and supervision have legal consequences: 1-The state's right of managing the facility as a part of its soil by controlling it. 2-Taking responsibility of the station and personnel and commitment to international obligations, which include responsibility for damage and responsibility for personnel.

[4] The 1996 Declaration on international cooperation in the exploration and use of outer space for the benefit and in the interests of all states, U.N.G.A. A/Res/51/122, 4 Feb. 1997. Declaration on International Cooperation in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space for the Benefit and in the interests of States, taking into Particular Account the Needs of Developing Countries.

While the states that traverse outer space have benefited from their rights to carry out their space activities under the principle of freedom to explore and use outer space, non-space states that have ceded their sovereignty in favor of the principle of freedom must also benefit from the outcomes of the freedom to explore and use outer space, and that is through the rights granted by the rules of outer space (Mari, 2011).

Therefore, it is necessary to clarify the rights of non-space states to exploit outer space under international law, and that is through the following division into four branches that we discuss in accordance with international law. The following are the four branches that we discuss in appropriate detail:

Section 1: The right to benefit from the results of outer space technology.

Section 2: The right to obtain information sensed in their territory.

Section 3: The right to assistance in the event that their interests are harmed.

Section 4: The right to claim compensation in the event of damage.

Section One: The right to benefit from the results of outer space technology:

The space arena has become a display case for scientific excellence and practical advantages to humanity[5], thanks to its high stakes and worldwide competitiveness. Space missions endeavor to contribute positively to society across a range of fields, including satellite navigation, microgravity research, satellite communications, and remote sensing. (Ozgur, 2013) states that the fundamental right to life is characterized by dignity and advancement, as stated in Article 1 of the 1969 Declaration on Progress and Development in the Social Field. (resolution, 1969).

A collaboration between the United Nations and China has commenced in the field of space technology, guided by the 1969 United Nations Principles, which advocate for humanitarian principles and international unity (resolution, 1969). The Chinese government and the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs

[5] The competition between the former Soviet Union and the United States in global domination resulted in the first human to go to space, first steps on the moon, first space station, and first reusable launch system. After things settled down and the war calmed, and governments realized the substantial costs of space research, a new logic emerged which is the scientific use of space for improving life on earth.

(UNOOSA) came together to construct an advanced space station designed for scientific research. The United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA) endeavors to ensure that all individuals have the opportunity to benefit from space travel in its pursuit of global sustainability[6].

The establishment of the Human Spacefaring Technology Initiative (HSTI) by the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA) in 2010 aimed to facilitate the utilization of space technology by less developed countries. Enhanced communication and cooperation will enable developing nations to collaborate more efficiently on space exploration and technological advancements with the implementation of this strategy[7]. The responsibility for imparting information regarding the application of space technology to underdeveloped nations lies with the United Nations Programme on Space Applications (Nations, 2003). Despite the scarcity of funding, the program continues to disseminate knowledge and develop expertise through its annual training seminars and scholarships for training in cutting-edge space technologies.

The significance of space technology is demonstrated by the fact that Landsat has been an indispensable instrument for nearly four decades of global Earth observation, ecosystem management, disaster prevention, and climate change research. Furthermore, the utility of helium gas extracted from the Moon in power facilities exhibits considerable potential. Relying less on politically volatile fuel-supplying nations and lowering greenhouse gas emissions are only two of the many benefits of this strategy for replacing fuels derived from the Earth, such as coal and natural gas. Companies willing to take on the risk may reap financial rewards while also helping to protect natural resources and increase access to key commodities, paving the way for a brighter future for all of humanity[8].

[6] Through this initiative, the United Nations Office for Outer Space receives generous support from the Chinese government with the aim of promoting international cooperation in space science and technology for peaceful purposes; especially for developing countries, noting that this initiative contributes to the achievement of the 17 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

[7] Refer to what was decided by Simonita de Bibo, Director of the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs, Space Technology and Implementation of the 2030 Plan.

[8] Since 2008, the United States of America has provided a free online archive of images taken by the American satellite Landsat, where the average distribution of images increased from 50 images per day to more than 5,500 images per day in 2011, and by April 2012, more than 8 Millions of photos taken by

Section two: The right to obtain information sensed in their territory:

Data capture tools like remote sensing serve an essential role in fields as diverse as natural resource planning, environmental monitoring, urban development, agriculture, and mining (Rabbu, 2009). The human observation was replaced with sensor-equipped aerial platforms at about the same time as photography and light-sensitive chemical compounds were developed, paving the way for more in-depth data collection[9].

The Outer Space Treaty requires governments, with the agreement of the UN Secretary-General (Al-Heiti, 1986), to disclose information about the type, conduct, locations, and consequences of outer space operations wherever possible in recognition of the importance of international collaboration in these endeavors (NATIONS, 1968b). Aligning with the objectives specified in Article 4 of the Outer Space Treaty, United Nations General Assembly Resolution No. 41/65 (1986) emphasized the equal dissemination of remote sensing data among governments (NATIONS, 1968b). Article 12 of the Resolution, however, differentiated between unprocessed data and processed data and analyzed information.

The term "raw data" is used to describe the original, unprocessed information gathered by sensors, whereas the term "processed data" refers to the improved, delay-free version of the former. On the other hand, analytical data is the end product of processing data and then concluding them. Responsible information distribution and use is facilitated by the fact that the analyzing states and organizations are not obligated to share their findings with the original sensing states (NATIONS, 1968b).

the satellite to users in 186 countries. For more information, see the Special Envoy's speech on international cooperation in outer space employment before the Fourth Committee, US Department of State, IIPDIGITAL October 18, 2012.

[9] Vlasic.L. A, the Evolution of the International Code of conduct to Govern Remote Sensing by satellite report, 3 Annals of air and space law, 1978.p561.
See in Article 11 of the Outer Space Treaty of 1967.

Section three: The right to assistance in the event that their interests are harmed:

Under international law, non-spacefaring states are entitled to assistance if their interests in outer space are compromised. In order to ensure effective search and rescue operations, Article 2 of the 1968 Astronauts Agreement mandates coordination between the governing body and the contracting governments. If providing aid speeds up or greatly improves the success of the rescue effort, it is required. After negotiations with the supervising authority, the contracting state directs and controls both search and rescue activities (Nations, 1968a).

In addition, the launching authority is responsible for paying to have any space object or its parts brought back (Nations, 1968a). In the event that a space object causes widespread damage, risking human life, the Liability Convention's Article 21 emphasizes the need to give aid. The UN General Assembly stresses the need to help nations prepare for the possible reentry of an object bearing a nuclear power source. The United Nations Secretary-General and other relevant governments should be notified of such situations as soon as possible (Nations, 1992).

To this end, it is imperative that all parties, but notably the launching nations, carefully weigh the options for and ways of giving prompt and appropriate support to impacted states upon their request. This responsibility is on assessing the possibility of help, stressing that it is more than just a necessary evil. Launching authorities are compelled to make emergency landings to rescue and help impacted nations if they seek assistance, even if they are not legally required to do so.

Section four: The right to claim compensation in the event of damage:

It is well-established that countries responsible for sending things into space are fully responsible for any harm such objects may cause on Earth or while in flight (Nations, 1972). The Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space deliberated at length on the question of liability (Nations, 1972), a cornerstone of the world's legal systems[10]. The group deliberated about potential guidelines for damage

[10] Dr. Hamed Sultan, Public International Peacetime Law, Dar Alnahda, 1976, pp. 221-251; Dr. Abdel-Aziz Sarhan, Public International Law, Dar Alnahda, 1991, pp. 130-140; Dr. Saeed Salem Joeili, Confronting Damage to the Environment between Prevention and Remedy, Dar Alnahda, 1999, p. 6; Dr.

compensation, including their scope and the processes for filing claims (Catherine Colard-Fabregoule, 2012). Public assets are civil liabilities that eventually include compensation, and international law has confirmed that harm constitutes the foundation for responsibility originating from acts that are not globally forbidden(Abdel-Rahman, 1993).

It refers to the Liability Convention, which defines harm as the loss of life and property due to the actions of an object in space(Afkereen, 2007). Article 10, paragraph 3, makes it clear that injuries may include mental or physical health problems, as well as postponed damages like those caused by radiation (Jameela, 2007). This opens the door for future claims from claimant states in the event of further losses from a space mishap.

There are no clear fines for damages due to pollution under the Space Treaty, notwithstanding Article Nine's requirement that governments prevent detrimental contamination to outer space and the Earth's ecosystem. Surprisingly, the Liability Convention does not address pollution concerns, despite occurrences like the 1960 crash of an American satellite into Cuba and the 1964 crash of a piece of the Soviet satellite Sputnik 4 into the United States.

International initiatives have developed to form an agreement obligating governments to preserve both the space environment and the Earth's environs in light of the risks posed by space operations, notably space debris from rocket explosions and satellite shards. Such an agreement would emphasize worldwide responsibility for any harm produced by atomic space devices by imposing obligation and reimbursement for damage caused by contamination due to space operations.

Conclusion

Stronger legal accords are necessary to address the challenges of contemporary spaceflight, as the existing Outer Space Convention, Registration Treaty, and Liability Convention are inadequate. At the time that the current space accords were signed, environmental impacts were minimal, and risks were not widely

Mustafa Ahmed Fouad, Introduction to Public International Law, International Law, Library of Tanta University, 1991, p. 329.

recognized. Space policy must immediately resolve the issue of space debris despite the dissenting opinions of scientific and legal experts. The political forces within the Legal Subcommittee have significantly contributed to the disagreements that have arisen due to the space debris's ties to the economy, security, politics, and law of the nation that launched it.

Regarding the concept of negligence as outlined in Article 2 of the Responsibility Convention, it is also critical to reevaluate the framework of liability for injuries sustained in space. Establishing the presence of an error within the framework of rapid and clandestine technological advancements presents a formidable challenge; therefore, this literary work necessitates a reevaluation. Alterations must be implemented to the manner in which space operations inflict damage upon the Earth's surface so that "the responsibility of the launching party or the state," as stipulated in Article 2, serves as the basis for accountability.

An important milestone in the ongoing battle against environmental pollution has been reached with the publication of comprehensible texts that aid in the elimination of contamination caused by space debris and nuclear power sources. To rephrase, cooperation between nations is vital. It is critical to have a legally binding international agreement that holds all nations accountable for the preservation of the Earth and space environment. This is due to the fact that space and its utilization are in the collective interest and benefit of all governments.

Recommendations

Treaties governing international space exploration must be sufficiently adaptable to account for the dynamic nature of technology. These nations ought to employ emerging information and technology in order to formulate inventive approaches aimed at safeguarding the space environment for present and future human endeavors. Enabling international organizations, such as COPUOS, to deliberate on disarmament issues concerning space would be advantageous for the promotion of peace and security. Emerging challenges such as space debris necessitate the implementation of current legal documentation, appropriate compensation protocols, and a United Nations-supervised integrated monitoring system. To ensure that space remains habitable for future centuries, its utilization and

exploration must be conducted responsibly, safely, and peacefully. This can be achieved through the implementation of regulations governing scientific and military experimentation, the development of comprehensive space laws, the construction of space traps, and the instruction of students.

References

- Abdel-Rahman, M. S. (1993). Principles of Public International Law. Dar Al Walaa.
- Afkereen. (2007). General Theory of International Responsibility for Actions Prohibited by International Law, with Special Reference for Its Implementation in the Environmental Field. 21.
- Al-Heiti, N. A. (1986). Earth Sensing from Outer Space University of Baghdad]. Iraq.
- Ali, A. A. Legal System of Outer Space and Celestial Bodies.
- Ali, A. A. Legal System of Outer Space and Celestial Bodies.
- Amer, S. Introduction to the Study of Public International Law.
- Catherine Colard-fabregoule, C. C. (2012). Changements environnementaux globaux et droits de l'homme.
- Heif, A. S. A. Legal Regulation of Space Activity.
- Jameela, H. (2007). Legal System for Environmental Damage and Its Mechanisms of Compensation University of Algiers].
- Majdhoub, M. S. (1999). The Mediator in Public International Law. University House for Printing and Publishing.
- Mari, C. (2011). American Space Exploration and Development. Hw Wilson Co.
- Nations, U. (1967). Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, including the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies. https://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/ast/media/treaty_Princi_Gov_Acti_States_OST.pdf
- Nations, U. (1968a). Agreement on the Rescue of Astronauts, the Return of Astronauts and the Return of Objects Launched into Outer Space. https://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/usmu014.asp
- NATIONS, U. (1968b). Report of The Committee on The Peaceful Uses of Outer Space. file:///C:/Users/Dell/Downloads/A_7285-EN.pdf
- Nations, U. (1972). Convention on International Liability for Damage Caused by Space Objects. https://www.unoosa.org/oosa/en/ourwork/spacelaw/treaties/liability-convention.html
- Nations, U. (1979). Agreement Governing the Activities of States on the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies. https://jusmundi.com/en/document/treaty/en-agreement-governing-the-activities-of-states-on-the-moon-and-other-celestial-bodies-1979-moon-agreement-1979-wednesday-5th-december-1979

- Nations, U. (1992). Principles Relevant to the Use of Nuclear Power Sources in Outer Space. http://hrlibrary.umn.edu/resolutions/47/68GA1992.html
- Nations, U. (2003). Report of the Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space.
- Ozgur, G. (2013). Fundamentals of Space Business and Economics Springer
- Rabbu, H. T. A. (2009). The Legal Dimension of Remote Sensing from Outer Space Ain Shams University]. Egypt
- Resolution, G. A. (1969). Declaration on Social Progress and Development. https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/declaration-social-progress-and- development#: ~:text=All%20peoples%20and%20all%20human, should%2C%20on%20their%20part%2C%20contribute
- Saad, F. Space Law. Al Dar Al Ahlia Bookstore.
- Shehata, I. (1960). International Air Law and Space Law.